

# DIFFERENCE-IN-DIFFERENCES

Twice as good as a single difference

Created by Sarah Miller (✉ [mille@umich.edu](mailto:mille@umich.edu)) for BE 887

Last edit April 6, 2022



MICHIGAN  
ROSS SCHOOL OF BUSINESS

Difference-in-differences compares the change in an outcome in treated units before and after receiving the treatment to the change in the outcome in untreated units over the same time period.

## WHEN TO USE

### Requirement 1

**Some units are treated and some are not**

- E.g., some states enact a policy and others do not, some workers receive a training and others do not, etc.
- You observe data before and after treatment for types of units.
- “Spillovers” or “externalities” are negligible (i.e. untreated units not affected by treatment).

### Requirement 2

**Treated units may vary in terms of levels but are on the same trends prior to the policy**

- It is reasonable to expect that after accounting for level differences, the untreated units capture how the treated units’ outcome would have evolved in the absence of treatment.

## WHAT TO DO: THE BASICS

### Step 1

**Plot data of treated and untreated units against time**

- Ideally all treated units are treated at the same time.
- Do you observe a trend break in the raw data?
- Do treated and untreated units appear to be on a similar trend before the treatment, but diverge after the treatment?

### Step 2

**“Event Study” Version**

- If treatment time is  $t^*$ , estimate a model of the form 
$$y_{it} = \beta_i + \beta_t + Treated_i \times \sum_z \beta_z I(t - t^* = z) + \epsilon_{it}.$$
- Ideally, you will see that coefficients on indicators prior to the treatment ( $\beta_z$  for  $z < 0$ ) are small and not statistically significant.
- If coefficients on post-treatment indicators ( $\beta_z$  for  $z \geq 0$ ) are statistically significant, that indicates the treatment had an effect.

### Step 3

**“Diff in Diff” Version**

- Regress a model of the form 
$$y_{it} = \beta_i + \beta_t + \beta_{DD} Treated_i \times After_t + \epsilon_{it}.$$
- $Treated_i = 1$  if unit is treated;  $After_t = 1$  if time is after treatment.
- The difference-in-differences effect is  $\beta_{DD}$ .

## INFERENCE

- Cluster at the level of treatment to account for within-unit correlation of the error term over time (Bertrand, Duflo, and Mullainathan 2004).
- Do you have a small # of clusters? Use a clustered wild bootstrap or permutation test (Cameron, Gelbach, and Miller 2008, Hagemann 2019).

## PITFALLS

- Do treated and untreated units appear to be on different pre-treatment trends? You have options!
  - Re-weight untreated units using synthetic control (Abadie, Diamond, and Hainmueller 2010) or inverse propensity score weighting (Hirano, Imbens, and Ridder 2003).
  - Use your knowledge of the setting to select only untreated units you think will be on a similar trend (e.g. states in the same region, rather than all states).
- Are units treated at different times? This can cause problems. See Abraham and Sun 2018 and Goodman-Bacon 2018.
- Do you have adequate power to detect “pre-trends” if they are present? Check with method in Roth 2019, Section 5.2.

## RATING

Difficulty  
Fun  
Validity



## MAKE IT SIZZLE

- Can you identify a subgroup within the treated units that was not affected by treatment? This could serve as a placebo test, and may even allow you to estimate the elusive “triple difference” model!

# SOURCES

---

## References

- Hagemann, Andreas (2019). "Placebo inference on treatment effects when the number of clusters is small". In: Journal of Econometrics 213.1.
  - Roth, Jonathan (2019). "Pre-test with Caution: Event-study Estimates After Testing for Parallel Trends". In: Harvard University Working Paper.
  - Abraham, Sarah and Liyang Sun (2018). "Estimating Dynamic Treatment Effects in Event Studies With Heterogeneous Treatment Effects". In: SSRN Electronic Journal. URL: <https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=3158747>.
  - Goodman-Bacon, Andrew (2018). Difference-in-Differences with Variation in Treatment Timing. Working Paper 25018. National Bureau of Economic Research. URL: <http://www.nber.org/papers/w25018> (visited on 06/20/2019).
  - Abadie, Alberto, Alexis Diamond, and Jens Hainmueller (2010). "Synthetic Control Methods for Comparative Case Studies: Estimating the Effect of California's Tobacco Control Program". In: JASA 105.490.
  - Cameron, A. Colin, Jonah B. Gelbach, and Douglas L. Miller (2008). "Bootstrap-Based Improvements for Inference with Clustered Errors". In: The Review of Economics and Statistics 90.3.
  - Bertrand, Marianne, Esther Duflo, and Sendhil Mullainathan (2004). "How Much Should We Trust Differences-In-Differences Estimates?" In: Quarterly Journal of Economics 119.1.
  - Hirano, Keisuke, Guido W Imbens, and Geert Ridder (2003). "Efficient estimation of average treatment effects using the estimated propensity score". In: Econometrica 71.4.
-