IDM PreThesis Assignment Guidelines

Here you will find guidelines and rubrics for the assignments due each week. This information is supplemental to the information in the <u>Syllabus</u>, <u>Project Guidelines</u>, and <u>Paper Guidelines</u>

Due Week 1 (9/12/22)

1. Prepare a 3 minute, 3 slide presentation covering your past projects, current interests and ideas for thesis

[ungraded]

Due Week 2 (9/19/22)

- 1. make a folder for yourself (FirstName_LastName) in our <u>Deliverables</u> folder. This will be your homework folder moving forward
- 2. upload an image of your worksheet (.png or .jpg), named according to our naming scheme, in this homework folder

[ungraded]

3. start a reading list. This should be a google doc or spreadsheet of links or references, where you start collecting all the things you might want to read as background material for your project. There should be at least 10 items (you don't have to have read all of them). Drop this reading list in your homework folder.

Grading Rubric:

| reading list | category | | points |
|--------------|----------|---|--------|
| | content | Was there a wide range of secondary sources such as books, academic journals, periodicals, web sources, and catalogs, from a wide range of publication dates? | 5 |
| | | | |

4. prepare a 6 minute, 6 slide presentation that expounds on the ideas that you generated during the workshop. This is the idea explosion phase! You can refer to the Project Guidelines for more information about the types of projects that usually come out of IDM

Theses. I suggest you structure your presentation around the items in the Project Brief (where/who, what, how, why) as outlined in the <u>Syllabus</u> though remember that at this time you're not trying to hone in on a single idea, but generate a bunch of them.

Grading Rubric:

| pitch 1 | category | | points |
|------------------|--------------|---|--------|
| pitori i | content | Was there a wide range of secondary sources such as books, academic journals, periodicals, web sources, and catalogs, from a wide range of publication dates? | 1 |
| Research Quality | context | Did the presenter relate the information back to a broader context and demonstrate cultural relevance? | 1 |
| | analysis | Did the presenter make connections, identify gaps and next steps in their research findings and process? | 1 |
| Presentation | polish | was the composition of slides well thought out and effective visual communication? | 1 |
| Quality | organization | Was the presentation clearly organized and did the sequence of slides support the articulation of the presenter's thought process? | 1 |
| | | the presenter's thought process: | |

Due Week 4 (10/03/2022)

- 1. review the Collaborative Research topics in our Class Slides. Reach out this week to any faculty members leading projects you are interested in to schedule a conversation.
- 2. Make an appointment with your instructor for office hours next week
- 3. prepare a 6 minute, 6 slide presentation that hones in on the ideas that you generated during last week's mind mapping workshop. This is the idea refining phase, so be as specific as you can. You can refer to the Project Guidelines for more information about the categories of projects, you should start having an idea as to what category you are leaning to. Structure your presentation around the items in the Project Brief (where/who,

what, how, why) as outlined in the <u>Syllabus</u> with the idea of honing in on the specifics of your idea.

| pitch 2 | category | | points |
|------------------|--------------|---|--------|
| | content | Was there a wide range of secondary sources such as books, academic journals, periodicals, web sources, and catalogs, from a wide range of publication dates? | 1 |
| Research Quality | context | Did the presenter relate specific information back to a broader context and demonstrate cultural relevance? | 1 |
| | analysis | Did the presenter define specifc research areas, and refine their ideas and context since the last presentation? | 1 |
| Presentation | polish | was the composition of slides well thought out and effective visual communication? | 1 |
| Quality | organization | Was the presentation clearly organized and did the sequence of slides support the articulation of the presenter's thought process? | 1 |

4. prepare your Annotated Bibliography to discuss in office hours next week. This should be at least 10 references, cited in MLA format, with a two to five sentence summary of the takeaway and how it relates to your project. If you have still multiple project ideas, these 10 references can span those projects.

| annotated bibliography | category | | points |
|---------------------------|----------|---|--------|
| | content | Was there a wide range of secondary sources such as books, academic journals, periodicals, web sources, and catalogs, from a wide range of publication dates? | 2 |
| | summary | was the summary succinct, informative, and clearly outlines how the reference relates to the project? | 2 |
| | format | were the references cited in MLA format? | 1 |

Due Week 5 (*Tuesday* 10/11/2022)

This week your Project Brief Draft is due. This brief should follow the following:

1. Content

The brief should cover, in narrative text (no bullet points), the following items:

Title

Research Statement

In one sentence, summarize your research goal.

Where and Who:

Conceptually speaking, where is this project situated? What existing contexts are you responding to? What existing sociopolitical concerns, domains of research, creative practices does it relate to? Who are the stakeholders? What is the background in the field? How do you contextualize it with respect to the prior work in this field? (refer in this section to at least 3 references in your reading list)

What:

What are you making? Is it an object, a book, a movie, a product? What category do you place your work in? (refer to the Thesis Project Guidelines for category descriptions)

How:

How are you executing your project? What are the methods and methodologies you are using? What are the resources you will need, and what is your timeline?

Why:

Why did you decide to do this project? Why is it important to you and/or others? How will you determine that it was successful? What are the metrics you will use?

References:

Include several references with citations in the above sections to contextualize your project.

This is the first draft towards a clearly defined project description in scope, methods, and purpose, due 10/18/22.

2. Format

- The entire brief should be formatted to fit on one tabloid size page. You may include any amount of visual materials to complement your text
- For the draft: make a Google doc with your text in your Deliverables folder to allow for suggested edits and comments. You may accompany this with a pdf to show your intended layout.

- For the final draft due 10/18/22: make a pdf (tabloid format) and drop it in your Deliverables folder. You must bring a printed copy to class.

Rubric

Since this is a draft, you are graded with a completion grade, full points if your brief includes each section required

| brief draft | category | | points |
|-------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------|
| | research statement | completion grade | 1 |
| | where and who | completion grade | 1 |
| | what | completion grade | 1 |
| | how | completion grade | 1 |
| | why | completion grade | 1 |

Due Week 6 (Monday October 17th)

1. Project brief.

a. Content

Please refer to Week 5 for content outline. This document is designed to be a standalone explanation of your project, intended for a reader who knows nothing about it.

b. Format

- The entire brief should be formatted to fit on one tabloid size page. You may include any amount of visual materials to complement your text
- make a pdf (tabloid format) and drop it in your Deliverables folder. You must bring a printed copy to class.

| brief | category | | points | | |
|-------|--------------------------|--|--------|--|--|
| | research statement | is this statement detailed enough to understand what the full depth and breadth of the project is? | 2 | | |
| | where and who | how clearly to you contextualize your project, both conceptually and concretely? | 2 | | |
| | what | how clearly tdo you detail what you are going to make, or if that will unfold with the research, how well do you outline the potential outcomes? | 2 | | |
| how | how | how clearly do you outline your research approach? | 2 | | |
| | why | do you cover personal goals, intellectual merit as well as broader impact of your work? | | | |
| | editing and proofreading | how carefully is your writing edited and proofread? is your style clear, concise and precise? | 2 | | |
| | polish | how well do the various elements of your brief fit together? are there clear relations and transitions between sections? if you are presenting visual material, how well does it support your text? how well thought out is the design and layout? | 3 | | |

2. Video pitch

Record a 6 minute video of your project pitch. We suggest doing so by starting a Zoom call with just yourself, sharing your screen, and recording while you deliver your presentation. You may of course edit in any original footage, animations, or other video or visual content you have created.

This video is intended for an audience who knows nothing about your project.

| pitch 3 | category | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|---|---|--|--|
| | content | Was there a wide range of secondary sources such as books, academic journals, periodicals, web sources, and catalogs, from a wide range of publication dates? | 1 | | |
| Research Quality | context | Did the presenter relate specific information back to a broader context and demonstrate cultural relevance? | 1 | | |
| | analysis | Did the presenter define specifc research areas, and refine their ideas and context since the last presentation? | 1 | | |
| Presentation | polish | was the composition of slides well thought out and effective visual communication? | 1 | | |
| Quality | organization | Was the presentation clearly organized and did the sequence of slides support the articulation of the presenter's thought process? | 1 | | |

- 3. drop both of these in your Deliverables folder, and also submit link to them using this form
- 4. use this form to submit your preferences for your Faculty Advisor

Due Week 14 (Monday, December 12th) - final presentation

Your final presentation will be a 6 minute video presentation. The intended audience for this presentation is your faculty advisor, so you can assume they are familiar with the design theory you will be presenting. This video can be a slide show combined with video footage if relevant to your project. Roughly, the presentation should cover:

- 1.5 min background research
- 3 min work in progress / prototype
- 1.5 min next steps

This timing is flexible, but the most important message in this presentation is the Work in Progress section which should be no less than 3 min.

Checklist for this presentation:

- <u>Introduction and Background</u> (1.5 minutes) (be as succinct and thorough as possible)
 - 1 liner research statement (updated from brief)
 - Summary of background with citations (can be a visual summary)
 - Relevance and justification/motivation
- Process and prototype (3 minutes)
 - Methodology with citations
 - Work in progress: include videos, photographs, documentation of prototypes and process
- Next steps (1.5 minutes)
 - Timeline (e.g. Gantt chart)
 - Goals: What does the professional outcome of this project look like
 - Open questions and challenges

Final Presentation Schedule:

| Advisor | Time | Room (in person students) | Zoom link (remote students) |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Amy Hurst | 5 - 6:20pm | Ability Project Classroom | https://nyu.zoom.us/j/93071069112 |
| Luke Dubois | 6 - 7:20pm | https://nyu | ı.zoom.us/my/rldubois |
| Mark Skwarek | 6 - 7:20pm | 312 | https://nyu.zoom.us/j/96254356013 |
| Ahmed Ansari | 6 - 7:20pm | 308 | https://nyu.zoom.us/j/93074627312 |
| Todd Bryant | 6 - 7:20pm | 307 | https://nyu.zoom.us/j/96617569614 |
| Tega Brain | 6 - 7:20pm | 311 | https://nyu.zoom.us/j/92055711035 |
| Elizabeth Henaff | 6 - 7:20pm | 325 | https://nyu.zoom.us/j/95763222975 |
| De Angela L. Duff | 7:30 - 8:50pm | 312 | https://nyu.zoom.us/j/96254356013 |
| Regine Gilbert | 7:30 - 8:50pm | 308 | https://nyu.zoom.us/j/93074627312 |
| Magdalena Fuentes | 7:30 - 8:50pm | 307 | https://nyu.zoom.us/j/96617569614 |
| Benedetta P | 7:30 - 8:50pm | 311 | https://nyu.zoom.us/j/92055711035 |
| Carla Gannis | 7:30 - 8:50pm | 325 | https://nyu.zoom.us/j/95763222975 |

Final Presentation Rubric:

| final presentation | category | | points |
|---------------------|--------------|---|--------|
| | outcomes | Clear research direction Thoughtful planning Concrete materialization of concept or vision | 3 |
| Research Quality | context | Did the presenter relate specific information back to a broader context and demonstrate cultural relevance? | 3 |
| | process | Practical/ logistical progress Useful technical experimentation | 10 |
| Presentation | polish | was the composition of slides well thought out and effective visual communication? | 2 |
| Quality | organization | Explains methodology Articulates planned outcomes | 2 |
| | | | |

Due Week 14 (Friday, December 16th) - final paper

Your final paper will include the Introduction and Background sections. Please see the <u>Thesis</u> <u>Paper Guidelines</u> for information on these sections.

| Student | | | | | |
|---------|--------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| Reader | | | | | |
| | section, a The point "acceptab | nd add coi scale is be ble" grade. | appropriate mments as elow, with a If you do n ction, pleas | needed. an example ot feel you | e of an ı can |
| | | | acceptab | | nonexist |
| | excellent | good | le | poor | ent or |

| | | | | | | unaccept able | |
|------------------|--------------------------|---|--------------|------------|---------------|---------------------------|----------|
| | | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | GENERAL COMMENTS | | | ı | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| OVERALL | writing and proofreading | how matu | re, develo | ped, and c | leanly edit | ed is your w | vriting? |
| | | grade: | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | | comment s: | | I | | | |
| | novelty and relevance | how insightful and relevant is your work? | | | | | |
| | | grade: | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | | comment s: | | | | | |
| | social impact | how impa | ctful is you | ır work on | a social or | cultural sca | ale? |
| | | grade: | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | | comment s: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| INTRODUC TION | | an unders | tanding of | | of the art ir | you give you the field, a | |
| | | grade: | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| | | comment s: | | | | ' | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| BACKGRO UND | source summary | 1 | 0 , | clearly to verage, tor | • | | | |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| | | grade: | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | |
| | | comment s: | | | | | | |
| | source analysis | successfu | how clear and intellectually rigorous is your analysis? How successfully does the paper's organization follow through of the logical articulation? | | | | | |
| | | grade: | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | |
| | | comment s: | | | | | | |
| | synthesis | cited form work? Ho mediums | a paradig w deft are and times | pport your of m in which you at stict to create this context | you are p tching toge his picture | ositioning ether idea | your s across | |
| | | grade: | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | |
| | | comment s: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |